St McGill



d Thinking about Noticing Students' Thinking Toologia and too and the Using Rehearsal and Video of Ambitious Science Teaching to Explore Preservic

* Department of Integrated Studies in Education (DISE). 3700 McTavish Street. Education Room 356. Montreal, Quebec H3A 1Y2. email: ebenezar.mbachu@mail.mcgillca

ce leachers' Learning and Ininking a	αρουτ Ινοτ
Abianda Mbachu*	
rch Questions	
science preservice teachers (PSTs) teaching (AST) notice students' thinking? videos of PSTs' own and others' rehearsal bout what it means to notice student	 □ Done at ✓ Within-c ✓ Cross-cas similariti □ Use five as initial □ Extract the
Method	renearsa
design-based research (Cobb et al., g of pairs of PSTs in noticing student	Classroom r
ourse with a focus on AST practices	Video analy
aduate preparation in science but the ning experience	
s, frames teaching in terms of noticing hearses science activities to peers (acting eacher educator acting as coach	Cobb et al. (2 <i>32</i> (9), 9-1 Government
ta Collection	secondar de l'Educ
of three-phase activity (15 min.)	Government Secondar cation Qu Hammer, D. children's physical so Jacobs et al. <i>Education</i> , Lampert et a 226-243. Levin & Rich
ree develop models to oncepts/phenomena	
sents developed model during whole class us model of the concepts/phenomena	
s Sessions and Interviews	McLaughlin Merriam (19
with PST pair (45-60 min.)	aapplicati -Bass Moll et al. (2 Schreier, M. Thousand Sherin, M. G teacher no Routledge Stake (1995)
ssion, as facilitator, researcher be reviewed, and summarizes s involved (2-4 min.)	
eir own classroom rehearsal, ompts relating to the five ng student thinking (45-60 min.)	
other PST pair's rehearsal, answers e five components of teacher 60 min.)	CA: SAGE Sun & van Es 214.
	1



Department of Integrated Studies in Education

Data Analysis

Content analysis (Schreier, 2012)

two levels (Merriam, 1999):

case analysis to understand each PST pair

se analysis to uncover the differences and

ies among the four PST pairs

components of teacher noticing student thinking categories

themes or sub categories from transcripts of

, video analysis and interviews.



(2003). Design Experiments in Educational Research,

t of Quebec. (2016). Progression of learning in ry school. Quebec Education Program. Ministère cation, Loisir et Sport Quebec.

t of Quebec . (2004). Quebec Education Program. ry school education, Cycle one. Ministère de l'Edulebec.

M., & van Zee, E. (2006). Seeing the science in thinking: Case studies of student inquiry in

cience. Heinemann Educational Books.

(2010). Journal for Research in Mathematics , 41(2), 169-202.

al. (2013). Journal of Teacher Education, 64(3),

nard (2011). *Science Educator, 20*(2), 1-11. (2009). *Journal of Teacher Education, 60*(2), 142-154. & Barton (2013). *J Sci Teacher Educ, 24*, 13-36. 999). Qualitative research and case study ions in education (2nd. Ed.). San Francisco:Jossey-

(1992). Theory into Practice, 31(2), 132-141.

(2012). Qualitative Content analysis in practice. Oaks, CA: Sage

., Jacobs, V. R., & Philipp, R. A. (2011). Mathmatics oticing: Seeing through teachers' eyes.New York:

. The art of case study research. Thousand Oaks, Publications

is (2015). Journal of Teacher Education, 66(3),201-